Clarendon College (CC) evaluates success with respect to student achievement consistent with its mission. Criteria utilized include key elements derived from items in the strategic plan One College... One Vision 2014.2017. A variety of methods are used in this evaluation including a review of internal data from the CC Office of Institutional Research (OIR), results from the Community College Survey of Students Engagement (CCSSE), the Texas Legislative Budget Board Performance Measures (LBBPM) and the Texas Public Higher Education Almanac (TPHEA) and Accountability data (THECBA).

Provision for Student Access

In its effort to fulfill its mission and serve its students, CC offers both credit and non-credit courses including academic course for transfer, dual credit courses for service area high schools, technical courses for employment including certification and licensure, and continuing education for personal growth and enrichment. The College uses enrollment and demographic data from internal reports generated by the OIR in conjunction with THECB accountability measures and data reported in the TPHEA and LBBPM. The enrollment data is used to evaluate the number of students being served in each area mentioned to assess levels of student access. Comparisons of previous term enrollments are also used to identify changes in enrollment trends and aid in the planning of future course offerings to improve student access at CC. The following table provides the evaluation criteria and the 2017-2018 evaluation results for the provision for student access.

Table 1: Evaluation Results for Student Access Provision

One College One Vision Item	Criteria of Effectiveness	Baseline	Results
Provision for	Fall Enrollment:	Fall 2009 to Fall 2014	Change in Fall Enrollment
Student Access	Controlled Growth of	Average: -2.9%	Fall 2009 – Fall 2010: 11.3%
	2.5% each year.		Fall 2010 – Fall 2011: -15.2%
			Fall 2011 – Fall 2012: -7.3%
			Fall 2012 – Fall 2013: -2.6%
			Fall 2013 – Fall 2014: -1.2%
			Fall 2014 – Fall 2015: 12%
			Fall 2015 – Fall 2016: 10.6%
			Fall 2016 – Fall 2017: 7.0%
			Fall 2017 – Fall 2018: 3.2%

Provision for Student Progression & Completion

CC tracks completion and success rates for all courses for in order to evaluate its effectiveness at promoting student progression and completion. Course completion is defined as completing a course and receiving a grade, even a failing grade, while successful course completion is defined as receiving a passing grade (C or better). CC also defines student completion in a more holistic way as a student's completion of the core course requirements and graduation with either a certificate or associate's degree. CC continually monitors these measures each semester and compares the results with those from previous semesters and the average for schools of the same size in Texas to track student progression and completion. A threshold of 91% of attempted credit hours being completed has been established, and 58% of students persisting after one year and 42% after 2 years have been established as shown in the following table. Additionally, CC has established a 14.5% threshold for 3, 4 and 6-year

associate's graduation rates, a 10% threshold for 3, 4 and 6-year certificate graduation rates, and transfer rates to 4-year schools of 38% for academic students and 18% for technical students. These threshold rates are consistent with the 5-year average for same-size colleges in Texas and have been deemed to be appropriate as they match the 5-year average rates for CC's cohort college group. These rates also allow for a timely completion of each student's educational intent. The following table provides the evaluation criteria and the 2017-2018 evaluation results for the provision for student progression and completion.

Table 2: Evaluation Results for Student Progression and Completion Provision

One College One	Criteria of	Baseline	Results
Vision Item	Effectiveness		
Provision for	Fall Credit Hour	Fall 2009-Fall 2013	% of Fall Cr. Hrs. Completed ¹
Student Progression	Completion Rate:	Average:92.4%	Fall 2009: 93.1%
and Completion	91% of attempted		Fall 2010: 92.0%
and completion	credit hours	State Average:	Fall 2011: 91.3%
	completed.	91.0%	Fall 2012: 92.5%
			Fall 2013: 93.2%
			Fall 2014: 92.6%
			Fall 2015: 93.4%
			Fall 2016: 93.3%
			Fall 2017: 94.2%
	Fall One did Havens		Fall 2018: 94.6%
	Fall Credit Hours		Fall 2016: 85.9% Fall 2017: 87.7%
	Successfully Completed with "D"		Fall 2017: 87.7%
	or Better.		Fall 2016. 69.476
	Fall Credit Hours		Fall 2016: 81.6%
	Successfully		Fall 2017: 83.4%
	Completed with "C"		Fall 2018: 85.9%
	or Better.		
	1-year persistence	Fall 2009 to Fall	1-Year Persistence Rates
	rate: 58% of students	2013 Average:	Fall 2009: 65.5%
	persisting after 1	58.7%	Fall 2010: 53.1%
	year.		Fall 2011: 54.0%
		State Cohort	Fall 2012: 52.7%
		Average: 58.2%	Fall 2013: 68.4%
			Fall 2014: 62.3%
			Fall 2015: 50.7%
			Fall 2016: 55.7%
			Fall 2017: 63.7% Fall 2018: N/A ²
	2-year persistence	Fall 2008 to Fall	2-Year Persistence Rates
	rate: 42% of students	2012 average:	Fall 2008: 42.5%
	persisting after 2	37.9%	Fall 2009: 41.3%
	years.		Fall 2010: 35.9%
		State cohort	Fall 2011: 35.7%
		average: 41.8%	Fall 2012: 34.7%
			Fall 2013: 43.8%
			Fall 2014: 41.0%
			Fall 2015: 30.4%
			Fall 2016: 40.3%
			Fall 2017: N/A ²
			Fall 2018: N/A ³

3, 4 and 6 year Academic graduation rate: 45% of students seeking an Associate's Degree graduating within 6 years.	FY 2010 to FY 2014 average: 46.0% State cohort average: 43.6%	6-Year Graduation Rates ^{4, 5} FY 2010: 45.6% FY 2011: 45.4% FY 2012: 50.5% FY 2013: 44.8% FY 2014: 48.4% FY 2015: 36.6% FY 2016: 38.8% FY 2017: 38.6%
3, 4 and 6 year Technical graduation rate: 30% of students seeking an AAS or certificate graduating within 6 years.	FY 2010 to FY 2014 average: 44.3% State cohort average: 30.2%	6-Year Completion Rates ^{4, 5} FY 2010: 46.0% FY 2011: 49.3% FY 2012: 49.5% FY 2013: 40.7% FY 2014: 59.5% FY 2015: 50.0% FY 2016: 28.6% FY 2017: 55.3%
6 year graduation rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.).		6-Year Completion Rates ^{4, 5} FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9%
Academic student transfer rate: 38% of academic students transferring to a 4-year institution.	FY 2010 to FY 2014 average: 36.2% State cohort average: 38.3%	Acad. Students Transferring ⁴ FY 2010: 48.6% FY 2011: 37.7% FY 2012: 35.9% FY 2013: 27.1% FY 2014: 31.9% FY 2015: 25.5% FY 2016: 22.3% FY 2017: 22.8% FY 2018: 30.0%
Technical student transfer rate: 18% of technical students transferring to a 4-year institution.	FY 2010 to FY 2014 average: 26.5% State cohort average: 18.0%	Tech. Students Transferring ⁴ FY 2010: 37.5% FY 2011: 41.9% FY 2012: 19.3% FY 2013: 21.9% FY 2014: 11.9% FY 2015: 4.7% FY 2016: 7.8% FY 2017: 3.5% FY 2018: 2.9%

- 1. This measure was calculated using the THECB method which includes courses with grades other than "W" or "I" as being completed. In Fall 2016, 85.9% of credit hours were successfully completed with a passing of "D" or better and 81.6% with a grade of "C" or better. In Fall 2017, 87.7% of credit hours were successfully completed with a passing of "D" or better and 83.4% with a grade of "C" or better. These measures were incorporated into this evaluation beginning in FY 2016.
- 2. The 1 & 2-year persistence rates rely on Fall 2018 enrollment data which will not be available until March 2019.
- 3. The 2-year persistence rate relies on Fall 2019 enrollment data which will not be available until March 2020.
- 4. To insure consistency in evaluating these measures the 6-year completion and transfer rates as calculate by the THECB are used. THECB releases this data around March of the following FY (FY 2019 data will not be made available by the THECB until March 2020).
- 5. In FY 2017 the THECB changed the Accountability Reporting System which resulted in graduation data and rates no longer reporting Academic and Technical awards separately. Until THECB begins reporting awards by curriculum type again results reported in this evaluation will combine Academic and Technical 6-Year graduation rates beginning in FY 2018.

Provision for Quality Educational Programs

Students and faculty work in partnership to accomplish the goal of learning. Therefore the provision of quality instruction is a priority at the institution. While individual programs and faculty track student learning via student learning outcomes and through the widespread use of student evaluations of instruction, the institution monitors the provision of quality instruction via the use of indirect indicators at a broader level using the same measures as are used for monitoring student progression and completion. The level of course completion as measured by attempted credit hours completed, the number of students completing core requirements, and graduation rates give an indication of a student's ability to master course material and progress with their educational goals assuming a correlation with quality of instruction. An additional indicator of the quality of educational programs at CC is the percent of contact hours taught by full-time faculty with an established threshold of 72% of all contact hours being taught by full-time faculty. The following table provides the evaluation criteria and the 2016-2017 evaluation results for the provision for quality educational programs.

Table 3: Evaluation Results for Quality Educational Programs Provision

One College One Vision Item	Criteria of Effectiveness	Baseline	Results
Provision of quality	Fall Credit Hour	Fall 2009-Fall 2013	% of Fall Cr. Hrs. Completed ¹
educational	Completion Rate:	Average:92.4%	Fall 2009: 93.1%
	91% of attempted		Fall 2010: 92.0%
programs	credit hours		Fall 2011: 91.3%
	completed.	State Average:	Fall 2012: 92.5%
		91.0%	Fall 2013: 93.2%
			Fall 2014: 92.6%
			Fall 2015: 93.4%
			Fall 2016: 93.3%
			Fall 2017: 94.2%
			Fall 2018: 94.6%
	1-year persistence	Fall 2009 to Fall	1-Year Persistence Rates
	rate: 58% of students	2013 Average:	Fall 2009: 65.5%
	persisting after 1	58.7%	Fall 2010: 53.1%
	year.		Fall 2011: 54.0%
		State Cohort	Fall 2012: 52.7%
		Average: 58.2%	Fall 2013: 68.4%
			Fall 2014: 62.3%
			Fall 2015: 50.7%
			Fall 2016: 55.7%
			Fall 2017: 63.7%
			Fall 2018: N/A ²
	2-year persistence	Fall 2008 to Fall	2-Year Persistence Rates
	rate: 42% of students	2012 average:	Fall 2008: 42.5%
	persisting after 2	37.9%	Fall 2009: 41.3%
	years.		Fall 2010: 35.9%
		State cohort	Fall 2011: 35.7%
		average: 41.8%	Fall 2012: 34.7%
			Fall 2013: 43.8%
			Fall 2014: 41.0%
			Fall 2015: 30.4%
			Fall 2016: 40.3%
			Fall 2017: N/A ²
			Fall 2018: N/A ³

3, 4 and 6 year	FY 2010 to FY 2014	6-Year Graduation Rates⁴
Academic graduation	average: 46.0%	FY 2010: 45.6%
rate: 45% of students		FY 2011: 45.4%
seeking an	State cohort	FY 2012: 50.5%
Associate's Degree	average: 43.6%	FY 2013: 44.8%
graduating within 6		FY 2014: 48.4%
years.		FY 2015: 36.6%
		FY 2016: 38.8%
		FY 2017: 38.6%
3, 4 and 6 year	FY 2010 to FY 2014	6-Year Completion Rates ⁴
Technical graduation	average: 44.3%	FY 2010: 46.0%
rate: 30% of students		FY 2011: 49.3%
seeking an AAS or	State cohort	FY 2012: 49.5%
certificate graduating	average: 30.2%	FY 2013: 40.7%
within 6 years.		FY 2014: 59.5%
		FY 2015: 50.0%
		FY 2016: 28.6%
		FY 2017: 55.3%
6 year graduation		6-Year Completion Rates ^{4, 5}
rate (Academic		FY 2014: 39.9%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical		FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5%
rate (Academic		FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical		FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.).		FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70%	Fall 2009 to Fall	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or	2013 average:	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught		FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or	2013 average: 72.9%	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught	2013 average: 72.9% State cohort	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8% Fall 2011: 72.0%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught	2013 average: 72.9%	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8% Fall 2011: 72.0% Fall 2012: 70.9%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught	2013 average: 72.9% State cohort	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8% Fall 2011: 72.0% Fall 2012: 70.9% Fall 2013: 65.1%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught	2013 average: 72.9% State cohort	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8% Fall 2011: 72.0% Fall 2012: 70.9% Fall 2013: 65.1% Fall 2014: 75.5%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught	2013 average: 72.9% State cohort	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8% Fall 2011: 72.0% Fall 2012: 70.9% Fall 2013: 65.1% Fall 2014: 75.5% Fall 2015: 77.4%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught	2013 average: 72.9% State cohort	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8% Fall 2011: 72.0% Fall 2012: 70.9% Fall 2013: 65.1% Fall 2014: 75.5% Fall 2015: 77.4% Fall 2016: 71.8%
rate (Academic AA/AS and Technical AAS & Cert.). Full-time faculty: 70% of all credit hours or contact hours taught	2013 average: 72.9% State cohort	FY 2014: 39.9% FY 2015: 43.5% FY 2016: 30.9% FY 2017: 42.0% FY 2018: 39.9% % of Fall Cr. Hrs. Taught by FT Faculty Fall 2009: 79.8% Fall 2010: 76.8% Fall 2011: 72.0% Fall 2012: 70.9% Fall 2013: 65.1% Fall 2014: 75.5% Fall 2015: 77.4%

- 1. This measure was calculated using the THECB method which includes courses with grades other than "W" or "I" as being completed. In Fall 2016, 85.9% of credit hours were successfully completed with a passing of "D" or better and 81.6% with a grade of "C" or better. In Fall 2017, 87.7% of credit hours were successfully completed with a passing of "D" or better and 83.4% with a grade of "C" or better
- 2. The 1-year & 2-year persistence rates rely on Fall 2018 enrollment data which will not be available until March 2019.
- 3. The 2-year persistence rate relies on Fall 2019 enrollment data which will not be available until March 2020.
- 4. To insure consistency in evaluating these measures the 6-year completion and transfer rates as calculate by the THECB are used. THECB releases this data around March of the following FY (FY 2018 data will not be made available by the THECB until March 2019).
- 5. In FY 2017 the THECB changed the Accountability Reporting System which resulted in graduation data and rates no longer reporting Academic and Technical awards separately. Until THECB begins reporting awards by curriculum type again results reported in this evaluation will combine Academic and Technical 6-Year graduation rates beginning in FY 2018.

Provision for Student Services for holistic development

CC provides student support programs, services, and activities consistent with its mission that are intended to promote student learning and enhance the development of its students. The College recognizes that student support programs and services enhance the educational development and academic success of students and strives to ensure that its policies,

personnel, programs, and activities are consistent with the diverse needs and interests of the population it serves. Each service offered is managed by an assigned support service department within the College, with assessment and evaluation methods consisting of the results obtained from administering the Community College Survey of Student Engagement each year which is supplemented with the results from surveys administered by the OIR and each department that provides the service. Additional assessment and evaluation is provided by an examination of student participation in school activities and organizations and the number of students using available services. A threshold level of a CCSSE benchmark score of 50 and a minimum of 70% of students responding as being at least somewhat satisfied with each of the services offered on departmental surveys and CCSSE questions relating to the service has been established. The following table provides the evaluation criteria and the 2016-2017 evaluation results for the provision for Student Services for holistic development.

Table 4: Evaluation Results for Student Services for Holistic Development

One College One Vision Item	Criteria of Effectiveness	Baseline	Results
Provision for Student Services for Holistic Development	CCSSE questions pertaining to encouraging the use of offered student support services (9b, 9d, 9e & 9f): 60% of students responding "quite a bit" or "very much."	An average of 59.7% of students responded "quite a bit" or "very much" on the 2014 CCSSE survey questions pertaining to CC emphasizing the student support services offered.	% of students responding "quite a bit" or "very much" on CCSSE questions on encouraging use of support services: Spring 2016: 51.1% Spring 2017: 30.95% Spring 2018: 48.6% (Next administration March/April 2019)
	CCSSE questions pertaining to student use of offered support services (4m, 12.1a, 12.1b, 12.1d, 12.1e, 12.1j & 12.1k): 60% of students responding that they use student support services "sometimes" or "often".	An average of 60.3% of students responded "sometimes", "often" or "very often" on the 2014 CCSSE survey questions pertaining to their use of the student support services offered.	% of students responding "sometimes", "often" or "very often" on CCSSE questions on use of support services offered: Spring 2016: 35.9% Spring 2017: 46.8% Spring 2018: 49.6% (Next administration March/April 2019)
	CCSSE questions pertaining to student satisfaction with the support services offered (12.2a, 12.2b, 12.2c, 12.2d, 12.2e, 12.2g, 12.2h, 12.2j & 12.2k): 80% of students responding as being somewhat or very satisfied with the student services offered.	An average of 83.8% of students responded "somewhat" or "very" on the 2014 CCSSE survey questions pertaining to their satisfaction with the student support services offered.	% of students responding "somewhat" or "very" on CCSSE questions on student satisfaction of support services offered: Spring 2016: 53.2% Spring 2017: 64.8% Spring 2018: 52.6% (Next administration March/April 2019)

Provision for Developmental Education

To assess the fulfillment of the mission component for developmental education, CC uses a combination of data reported by the THECB Accountability system, reports generated internally by the OIR on developmental course and subsequent college-level course completion rates including longitudinal cohort tracking reports, ad hoc OIR reports as requested, and developmental program assessments. A large percentage of CC students enter CC with skill levels in Reading, Writing, or Math that are below state standards for being "college-ready." State law and the THECB establish the skill levels required for each area that determine if a student is prepared for college-level coursework. Those students not meeting Texas Success Initiative (TSI) standards are placed into remedial coursework to improve their skills. Once the remedial course work is successfully completed the student is allowed to begin taking college-level courses requiring TSI-complete status for that area.

The percent of developmental students successfully completing (a grade of A, B or C) developmental coursework and being allowed to continue on to credit courses requiring college-level Reading, Writing and Math skills is the primary measure of the effectiveness of CC at fulfilling the provision for developmental education. Effectiveness is further evaluated by tracking a student's success in their first college-level course that is dependent on the area of deficiency as a secondary measure. A threshold level 75% successful completion of developmental coursework and a threshold of 85% successful completion of the first college-level course after completing developmental education have been established for each of the developmental areas. These threshold levels assure an acceptable level of student progression through developmental coursework and the successful completion of the first college level course while also being appropriate to assure an acceptable level for completion of the student's educational goals. The following table provides the evaluation criteria and the 2016-2017 evaluation results for the provision for Developmental Education.

Table 5: Evaluation Results for Developmental Education

One College One Vision Item	Criteria of Effectiveness	Baseline	Results
Provision for Developmental Education	Students becoming TSI complete in Math: 45% of DE Math students becoming TSI complete and being eligible to take college-level courses requiring TSI completion in Math.	Math - Fall 2006 to Fall 2010 cohort average: 40.9% State cohort average: 44.1%	% of DE Students Meeting TSI Obligations in Math (2-year tracking) Fall 2011 Cohort: 34.7% Fall 2012 Cohort: 40.5% Fall 2013 Cohort: 45.8% Fall 2014 Cohort: 41.0% Fall 2015 Cohort: 52.7% Fall 2016 Cohort: 43.7% fall 2017 Cohort: N/A ² Fall 2018 Cohort: N/A ³
Provision for Developmental Education	Students becoming TSI complete in Reading: 55% of DE Reading students becoming TSI complete and being eligible to take college-level courses requiring TSI	Reading - Fall 2006 to Fall 2010 cohort average: 50.9% State cohort average: 60.1%	% of DE Students Meeting TSI Obligations in Reading (2-year tracking) Fall 2011 Cohort: 65.7% Fall 2012 Cohort: 57.8% Fall 2013 Cohort: 45.8% Fall 2014 Cohort: 41.0% Fall 2015 Cohort: 65.2% Fall 2016 Cohort: 71.7%

completion in (tracked 1 year) Reading. Fall 2016 Cohort: N/A Fall 2017 Cohort: N/A	
Fall 2017 Cohort: N/A	
Students becoming Writing - Fall 2006 to % of DE Students M	leeting TSI
TSI complete in Fall 2010 cohort Obligations in Writing	ng
Writing: 55% of DE average: 49.2% (2-year tracking)	
Writing students Fall 2011 Cohort: 68.	.1%
becoming TSI State cohort Fall 2012 Cohort: 25.	.0%
complete and being average: 56.6% Fall 2013 Cohort: 58.	
eligible to take Fall 2014 Cohort: 41.	
college-level courses Fall 2015 Cohort: 56.	
requiring TSI Fall 2016 Cohort: 57.	
completion in Writing. (tracked 1 year)	.0 70
Fall 2017 Cohort: N/A	\ 2
Fall 2018 Cohort: N/A	1
DE Math students Math - Fall 2006 to % of DE Students	
successfully Fall 2010 cohort Successfully Comp	
completing their first average: 14.3% College-level Math (Course
college-level course (2-year tracking)	
requiring TSI State cohort Fall 2011 Cohort: 17.	
completion in Math: average: 16.9% Fall 2012 Cohort: 26.	.4%
35% of students Fall 2013 Cohort: 37.	.3%
completing Fall 2014 Cohort: 37.	.1%
developmental Math Fall 2015 Cohort: 52.	.1%
courses will Fall 2016 Cohort: 37.	.3% ¹
successfully complete (tracked 1 year)	
their first associated Fall 2017 Cohort: N/A	\ 2
college-level course Fall 2018 Cohort: N/A	
with a "C" or better.	
DE Reading students Reading - Fall 2006 % of DE Students	
successfully to Fall 2010 cohort Successfully Comp	leting a
completing their first average: 36.6% College-level Reading	
college-level course (2-year tracking)	ing ocurse
requiring TSI State cohort Fall 2011 Cohort: 51.	1%
completion in average: 37.8% Fall 2012 Cohort: 50. Reading: 40% of Fall 2013 Cohort: 58.	
TSI complete in Fall 2015 Cohort: 65.	
Reading will Fall 2016 Cohort: 68.	.0 70 '
successfully complete (tracked 1 year)	
their first associated Fall 2017 Cohort: N/A	
college-level course Fall 2018 Cohort: N/A	4~
with a "C" or better.	
DE Writing students Writing - Fall 2006 to % of DE Students	
successfully Fall 2010 cohort Successfully Comp	
completing their first average: 30.4% College-level Writin	g Course
college-level course (2-year tracking)	
requiring TSI State cohort Fall 2011 Cohort: 30.	
completion in Writing: average: 30.3% Fall 2012 Cohort: 22.	
	4%
40% of students Fall 2013 Cohort: 56.	4%
40% of students Fall 2013 Cohort: 56. becoming TSI Fall 2014 Cohort: 37.	
	.1%
becoming TSI Fall 2014 Cohort: 37. complete in Writing will Fall 2015 Cohort: 66.	
becoming TSI Fall 2014 Cohort: 37. complete in Writing will successfully complete Fall 2016 Cohort: 54.	
becoming TSI Fall 2014 Cohort: 37. complete in Writing will successfully complete their first associated Fall 2015 Cohort: 66. (tracked 1 year)	.1%¹
becoming TSI Fall 2014 Cohort: 37. complete in Writing will Fall 2015 Cohort: 66.	
becoming TSI Fall 2014 Cohort: 37. complete in Writing will successfully complete Fall 2016 Cohort: 54.	.1%1

- Developmental Education data for the Fall 2016 cohort is based on 1 year of tracked student data. Two-year data will be made available by THECB in March 2019.
- 2. Developmental Education data for the Fall 2017 cohort will be made available by THECB in March 2019.
- 3. Developmental Education data for the Fall 2018 cohort will be made available by THECB in March 2020.

Provision for Continuing Education / Community Service Courses

CC provides continuing education and community service courses to members of the communities it serves. Continuing education (CE) courses are scheduled to meet the needs of these communities based on requests from area businesses and community members. In addition, CC strives to identify local training needs for current business and industry, as well as upcoming skills requirements for workforce needs in emerging fields in an effort to be proactive in its CE offering. To evaluate its provision of CE courses and the effectiveness of meeting the needs of the community, CC continually examines the CE courses offered and the enrollments for each. A threshold level of 85% of requests for CE courses that are projected to meet minimum enrollment levels will be offered. The following table provides the evaluation criteria and the 2016-2017 evaluation results for the provision for Continuing Education / Community Service Courses.

Table 6: Evaluation Results for Continuing Education / Community Service Courses

One College One Vision Item	Criteria of Effectiveness	Baseline	Results
Provision for Continuing Education & Community Service Courses	Number of CE courses offered annually to assure a minimum % of business and community requests for CE courses being met: 85% of business and community requests for CE courses being met by offering 70 CE courses each year.	5-Year Average: 70	Continuing Education Courses offered each year FY 2010: 66 FY 2011: 65 FY 2012: 57 FY 2013: 80 FY 2014: 83 FY 2015: 75 FY 2016: 88 FY 2017: 101 FY 2018: 82
	Annual Enrollment in Continuing Education Courses (unduplicated): Annual unduplicated enrollment in Continuing Education Courses of 450 students.	5-Year Average: 501	Annual Continuing Education Enrollment (unduplicated) FY 2010: 479 FY 2011: 431 FY 2012: 515 FY 2013: 388 FY 2014: 691 FY 2015: 251 FY 2016: 375 FY 2017: 283 FY 2018: 353
	Annual Continuing Education Contact Hours: Annual Contact Hours for Continuing Education Courses of 17,500.	5-Year Average: 18,917	Annual Continuing Education Contact Hours FY 2010: 22,750 FY 2011: 16,865 FY 2012: 14,309 FY 2013: 18,286 FY 2014: 22,376 FY 2015: 15,577 FY 2016: 23,766 FY 2017: 14,321 FY 2018: 25,276

As part of its mission, CC offers or hosts several cultural events each year. These events in clude staging theatre arts productions, hosting art shows, providing lectures and presentations from invited guest speakers, and offering courses that provide cultural enrichment including courses for CC students as well as members of the communities CC serves. A threshold level of 10 offered or hosted events annually was established to support the provision of offering cultural enrichment to the students and communities served by CC, and a threshold of 6 cultural enrichment courses offered each year was established. These threshold levels were based on student and community interest and response to previous cultural enrichment offerings at CC. The following table provides the evaluation criteria and the 2016-2017 evaluation results for the provision for Cultural Enrichment.

Table 7: Evaluation Results for Cultural Enrichment

Table 7: Evaluation Results for Cultural Enrichment			
One College One Vision Item	Criteria of Effectiveness	Baseline	Results
Provision for Cultural Enrichment	CCSSE questions pertaining to having conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity (question 4s: 80% of students responding "sometimes", "often" or "very often" that they had serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity.	81.4% of students responded "sometimes", "often" or "very often" on the 2014 CCSSE survey question pertaining to having serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity.	% of students responding "sometimes", "often" or "very often" on CCSSE questions on having serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity: Spring 2016: 76.9% Spring 2017: 71.2% Spring 2018: 59.8% (Questions 4s and 4t were combined in Spring 2018) (Next administration March/April 2019)
	CCSSE questions pertaining to having conversations with students that have different religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values. (question 4t): 80% of students responding "sometimes", "often" or "very often" that they had serious conversations with students with different religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values.	81.0% of students responded "sometimes", "often" or "very often" on the 2014 CCSSE survey question pertaining to having serious conversations with students with differing religious beliefs, political opinions or personal values.	% of students responding "sometimes", "often" or "very often" on CCSSE questions on having serious conversations with students that have different religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values: Spring 2016: 76.6% Spring 2017: 71.2% Spring 2018: 59.8% (Questions 4s and 4t were combined in Spring 2018) (Next administration March/April 2019)

1			
	CCSSE questions	88.2% of students	% of students responding
	pertaining to	responded "some",	"some", "quite a bit" or "very
	encouraging contact	"quite a bit" or "very	much" on CCSSE questions
	among students from	much" on the 2014	pertaining to CC encouraging
	different economic,	CCSSE survey	contact among students from
	social, and racial or	question pertaining	different economic, social,
	ethnic backgrounds	to CC encouraging	and racial or ethnic
	(question 9c): 80% of	contact among	backgrounds:
	students responding	students from	_
	"some", "quite a bit"	different economic,	Spring 2016: 81.5%
	or "very much" that	social, and racial or	Spring 2017: 82.2%
	CC encourages	ethnic backgrounds.	Spring 2018: 87.9%
	contact among		' "
	students from		(Next administration
	different		March/April 2019)
	backgrounds.		, , ,
	CCSSE questions	85.8% of students	% of students responding
	pertaining to	responded	"sometimes", "often" or
	experiences at CC	"sometimes", "often"	"very often" on CCSSE
	contributing to	or "very often" on	questions pertaining to their
	understanding people	the 2014 CCSSE	experiences at CC
	of other racial and	survey question	contributing to their
	ethnic backgrounds	pertaining to their	understanding people of
	(CCSSE question	experiences at CC	other racial and ethnic
	12k): 80% of students	contributing to their	backgrounds:
	participating in the	understanding	backgrounds.
	CCSSE survey	people of other	Spring 2016: 83.2%
	responding	racial and ethnic	Spring 2010: 83.2 % Spring 2017: 82.2%
	"sometimes", "often"	backgrounds.	Spring 2017: 62.2% Spring 2018: <i>This question was</i>
	or "very often" that	backyrounds.	removed and not included in
	their experiences at		the Spring 2018 survey.
	CC contribute to their		(Novt administration
	understanding people		(Next administration
	of other racial and		March/April 2019)
	ethnic backgrounds.	F Voor Averess 11	Cultural Envishment accepts
	Number of offered or	5-Year Average: 11	Cultural Enrichment events
	hosted cultural		FY 2010: 9
	enrichment events:		FY 2011: 11
	Ten Cultural		FY 2012: 10
	Enrichment events		FY 2013: 13*
	offered or hosted		FY 2014: 11*
	each year.		FY 2015: 11*
			FY 2016: 12*
			FY 2017: 11
	N		FY 2018: 11
	Number of cultural	5-Year Average: 14	Cultural Enrichment courses
	enrichment courses		offered
	offered: Six Cultural		FY 2010: 15
	Enrichment courses		FY 2011: 9
	offered each year.		FY 2012: 9
			FY 2013: 13
			FY 2014: 27
			FY 2015: 24
			FY 2016: 19
			FY 2017: 21
			FY 2018: 20

^{*} events include a Spanish Heritage event and/or a Black History event, two drama performances, 7-9 library events, and various community concerts and presentations.